Detecting Singleton Review Spammers Using Semantic Similarity

Vlad Sandulescu, joint work with Martin Ester

2015.05.19

Online reviews



- 31% of consumers read online reviews before actually making a purchase (rising)
- by the end of 2014, 15% of all social media reviews will consist of company paid fake reviews



Ken K. Burke, VA ♣ 0 friends ★ 4 reviews

Immediately upon entering, we became aware of the fact that this is a unique and charming hotel. The main lobby is decorated by live vines overlapping the open-feeling roof and by chandeliers, quite a contrast. The hotel staff were courteous, welcoming and efficient. The room was tastefully decorated with plush, comfortable bedding and the street noises of New York were never noticeable. The location is convenient to everything in the area of Columbus Circle and Carnegie Hall and there is a subway nearby. Overall a lovely experience.





Ken K. Burke, VA ♣ 0 friends ★ 4 reviews Immediately upon entering, we became aware of the fact that this is a unique and charming hotel. The main lobby is decorated by live vines overlapping the open-feeling roof and by chandeliers, quite a contrast. The hotel staff were courteous, welcoming and efficient. The room was tastefully decorated with plush, comfortable bedding and the street noises of New York were never noticeable. The location is convenient to everything in the area of Columbus Circle and Carnegie Hall and there is a subway nearby. Overall a lovely experience.

Behavioural features text analysis

- Behavioural approach gives good results for "elite" users
- Textual analysis = mostly cosine similarity, but also linguistic cues of deceptive writing

 using more verbs, adverbs and pronouns
- "husband" or "vacation" = highly suspicious based on their incidence in fake reviews
- ~ 90% of reviewers write a single review under one user name

• What about the singleton reviewers?

Hypothesis

- Semantic similarity measures should outperform vectorial based models in detecting more subtle similarities between fake reviews written by the same author
- A spammer's imagination is limited, so he will partially reuse some of the aspects between reviews, through paraphrase and synonyms

Goals

- Detect opinion spam using semantic similarity (WordNet) and topic modeling (LDA)
- Compare to vectorial similarity models (cosine)

Wordnet synsets



Wordnet synsets



Vectorial-based measures

For T1 and T2, their cosine similarity can be formulated as

$$\cos(T_1, T_2) = \frac{T_1 T_2}{\|T_1\| \|T_2\|} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n T_{1i} T_{2i}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_{1i})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (T_{2i})^2}}$$

Knowledge-based measures

For T1 and T2, their semantic similarity (Mihalcea et al.) can be formulated as:

$$sim(T_{1}, T_{2}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sum_{w \in \{T_{1}\}} (maxSim(w, T_{2}) * idf(w))}{\sum_{w \in \{T_{1}\}} idf(w)} + \frac{\sum_{w \in \{T_{2}\}} (maxSim(w, T_{1}) * idf(w))}{\sum_{w \in \{T_{2}\}} idf(w)} \right)$$

transport - "The shop now offers night delivery"



Ken K. Burke, VA ♣ 0 friends ★ 4 reviews Immediately upon entering, we became aware of the fact that this is a unique and charming hotel. The main lobby is decorated by live vines overlapping the open-feeling roof and by chandeliers, quite a contrast. The hotel staff were courteous, welcoming and efficient. The room was tastefully decorated with plush, comfortable bedding and the street noises of New York were never noticeable. The location is convenient to everything in the area of Columbus Circle and Carnegie Hall and there is a subway nearby. Overall a lovely experience.

Aspect-based opinion mining

- opinion phrases : <aspect, sentiment>
- opinion phrases: <hotel, unique>, <hotel, charming>, <staff, courteous>
- different words = same aspect (laptop, notebook, notebook computer)
- reviews = short documents = latent topics mixture = review aspects mixture
- reviews similarity = topics similarity => topic modeling problem
- advantage: language agnostic, not like WordNet

Topic Modeling for opinion spam detection



 $\begin{array}{ll} \Theta_d & \mbox{represents the topic proportions for the \mathbf{d}_{th} document$ \\ $Z_{d,n}$ & \mbox{represents the topic assignment for the \mathbf{n}_{th} word in the \mathbf{d}_{th} document$ \\ $W_{d,n}$ & \mbox{represents the observed word for the \mathbf{n}_{th} word in the \mathbf{d}_{th} document$ \\ β & \mbox{represents a distribution over the words in the known vocabulary$ } \end{array}$

$$KL(P||Q) = \sum_{i} \ln\left(\frac{P(i)}{Q(i)}\right) P(i).$$

$$JS(P || Q) = \frac{1}{2}KL(P || M) + \frac{1}{2}KL(Q || M), \text{ where } M = \frac{1}{2}(P + Q)$$

$$IR(P, Q) = 10^{-\beta JS(P||Q)}$$

yelp 😽

TRUSTPILOT

Ott dataset



Recommended reviews = truthful Not recommended = fake





One submission per turker, rejected short, illegible or plagiarized reviews

Preprocessing

Stop words removal, POS tagging (extracted NN, JJ, VB)

"I am working hard on my presentation at WWW"

I/PRP am/VBP working/VBG hard/RB on/IN my/PRP presentation/NN at/IN WWW/NNP

- am <u>lemma</u> be, working <u>lemma</u> work
- Cosine (all POS), Cosine (NN, JJ, VB), Cosine with lemmatization, Semantic

Pairwise similarity

- ∀ pairs (Ri, Rj) ∈ business B
- if sim(Ri, Rj) > T, T \in {.5, 1} \Rightarrow Ri and Rj are fake, else truthful

Semantic similarity results

Yelp/Trustpilot - classifier performance with vectorial and semantic similarity measures



CPL-↑P,T>0.75 ↑T⇒↑P

P=90%, T>0.8 Semantic **1** F1-score



Trustpilot's spammers are lazy Yelp's spam is higher quality

Distribution of truthful and deceptive reviews - Ott

Cumulative percentage of reviews vs. similarity values





- 40% reviews 1 0.22
- 40% reviews 1 0.32
- 80% reviews 1 0.38
- 80% reviews 1 0.44





truthful deceptive

Bag-of-words LDA model results

Yelp/Trustpilot - classifier performance for IR similarity with bag-of-words LDA



• topics $\in \{10 - 100\}$

● #30-P>70%

● topics1⇒P↓

● topics1⇒F1↓

- Trustpilot reviews are much shorter
- Everybody kind of talks about the same aspects

◆ IR10 ◆ IR30 ◆ IR50 ◆ IR70 ◆ IR100

Bag-of-opinion-phrases LDA model results

Yelp - classifier performance for IR similarity with bag-of-opinion-phrases LDA



- Yelp smoother precision increase as both #topics and threshold 1
- Trustpilot poor results due to reviews length and topic sparseness and smaller dataset
 (aspect,sentiment) predict same author better

Key points

- Singleton review spammers detection using two new methods
- Yelp(57K), Trustpilot(9K), Ott(800) datasets
- Semantic similarity with WordNet => can outperform the vectorial-based measures
- Topic modeling with LDA using new bag-of-opinion-phrases approach
- Shape of reviews in Ott dataset => semantic similarity shows a more distinctive gap
- Comparison with cosine similarity and variations

THANK YOU

questions?